DEVELOPING A MULTIFACETED RETURN TO PLAY PROGRAM

RYAN DONAHUE, PT, DPT, SCS, CSCS REHABILITATION COORDINATOR SAN FRANCISCO 49ers MARCH 11TH, 2018



- Review of the tissue healing response and inflammatory process
- Rehab and Performance Training
- The Facets of Return to Play
- Planning and Monitoring
- Return to Play Testing
- Summary
- Discussion



TISSUE HEALING

- Hemostasis (Seconds to Hours)
- Inflammation (Hours to Days)
- Repair (Days to Weeks)
- Remodeling (Weeks to Months)





EXERTION AND ITS RESPONSE

- Neutrophilia and lymphopenia ^{1,2}
- Decrease in NK cell cytotoxic activity ^{1,3}
- Decrease in mitogen induced lymphocyte proliferation ⁴
- Decrease in DTH response
 5

- Increase in pro- and antiinflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and IL-1ra)^{6,7}
- Decrease in granulocyte oxidative burst activity⁸





Inflammatory cytokines help regulate a rapid migration of neutrophils, and then later monocytes into areas of injured muscle cells and other metabolically active tissues to initiate tissue repair. ^{1,6,7,8}





THE IMPORTANCE OF BLOOD FLOW

- Increase the size and number of open capillaries
 - Receive more nutrients, O2, and improve cell metabolism
- Release endorphins
 - Decrease pain
- Increase circulation of lymph
 - Helps eliminate edema, wastes, and toxic debris



REHABAND PERFORMANCE TRAINING

Rehabilitation

 "To restore or bring to a condition of health or useful and constructive activity"



• Performance

• "The *ability* to perform and/or the execution of an action"

Training

• "The process by which an athlete prepares for competition by exercising, practicing, etc..."



REHABAND PERFORMANCE TRAINING

TRADITIONAL MODEL

- Rehabilitation
 - ROM
 - Strengthening (30% IRM)
 - Gait mechanics
 - Single plane movements

Performance

- Strengthening (70% IRM)
- Multi-joint/plane movements
- Dynamic Movement
- Sport Specific Movement

NEW MODEL

• The process by which an athlete restores proper muscle endurance and neuromuscular control, premorbid health, as well as the *ability* to perform sport specific movements in preparation for returning to athletic competition.

So you are saying that IT'S THE SAMETHING, JUST A DIFFERENT INTENSITY?!?!



THE FACETS OF RETURN TO PLAY











Case example

• 22 y/o Defensive back with ACL Rupture during second week of training camp



Where do we begin?





INJURIES HAPPEN

- Immediate post surgery
 - Mitigate swelling, initiate ROM, restore quad function
- Week 2 and beyond
 - ROM, neuromuscular re-education, strength, gait, pillar strength, proprioception, UE strength, conditioning, movement patterns





BUILDING A STRONG FOUNDATION



- Vermeil's Hierarchy of Athletic Development
 - Speed
 - Acceleration
 - Absolute Speed
 - Power
 - Plyometrics
 - Explosive Lifts
 - Strength
 - Total Body Strength
 - Lower Extremity Strength
 - Work Capacity
 - Mitigate edema
 - Restore ROM
 - Restore quadriceps function
 - Restore Postural Alignment
 - Modify Nutritional Program
 - Joint Mobility
 - Tissue pliability



THINGS TO CONSIDER

- Time of the season
 - Is the athlete returning before the season ends?
- Rehabilitation
 - Key time frames during progression (when to get in pool, when to run)
- Strength and conditioning
 - When and what do you implement?
- Nutrition
 - What is the current body composition?
 - What changes need to be made to meal plan?
- Player level
 - **PREVIOUS INJURY**
 - Developmental vs. veteran
- Mental
 - Outside factors (contract status, family situation)
 - What does the athlete want and what are their expectations?



THINGS TO CONSIDER

- What to monitor
 - Girth
 - Postural Alignment
 - Range of Motion
 - Strength
 - Body Composition
 - Mental Fatigue





THINGS TO CONSIDER

- Communication between team is essential
 - Preach the same message, same goals
 - Reinforce how each facet is assisting each other
 - Avoid overlapping interventions
 - Avoid tissue and joint overload
 - Coordinate volume and intensity with other facets
 - Mitigate mental fatigue





Player: DOS: Dx: R Knee ACL Recon w/ Hamstring Graft & Medial Meniscus Repair Guidelines: ROM0-115* Flexion x6 weeks; Crutch assited gait w/ brace x 6 weeks; Minimize knee flexion >90*, Egoscue 15 min prior to activity and immediately post-activity.													
1 ARIZONA		2	OCTOBER	3		4		5		6	7w	7	
OFF		PHASE 3, D1W1		PHASE 3, D2W1		RECOVERY DAY		PHASE 3, D3W1		PHASE 2, D4W1		OFF	
May start isolated		GIRTH, MMT		EGOSCUE MEN		UPPER BODY CONDITIONING		UPPER CORE LIFT		UPPER BODY CONDITIONING		EGOSC	UE MENU
		UPPER CORE LIFT				EGOSCUE MENU		EGOSCUE ME <u>NU</u>		EGOSCUE MENU			
		EGOSCUE RE-EVAL				WEIGH IN		GH IN					
8	INDY	9		10		11		12		13	8w	14	
c)FF	PHASE	3, D1W2	PHASE	3, D2W2	RECOV	ERY DAY	PHASE	3, D3W2	PHASE	3, D4W2	C)FF
Initiate Lower Body w/ S&C. Criteria to Progress: No edema, ROM 0-125*, 60% Quad Strength, normal gait		GIRTH, MMT		UPPER CORE LIFT		STRETCH TO WIN, CRYO		LOWER AUXILIARY LIFT		UPPER AUXILIARY LIFT		EGOSC	UE MENU
				EGOSCUE MENU		EGOSCUE MENU		EGOSCUE MENU		EGOSCUE MENU			
								WEIGH IN					
15	WASHINGTON	16		17		18		19		20	9w	21	
OFF		PHASE	4, D1W1	PHASE	4, D2W1	RECOV	ERY DAY	PHASE	4, D3W2	PHASE	4, D4W2	С)FF
Initiate HydroWorx Mvmt Sessions		GIRTH	H, MMT	UPPER C	ORE LIFT	STRETCH T	O WIN, CRYO	LOWER AUX	(ILIARY LIFT	UPPER AUX	ILIARYLIFT	EGOSC	UE MENU
		LOWER HYPERTROPHY LIFT		EGOSCUE MENU		EGOSCUE MENU		EGOSCUE MENU		EGOSCUE MENU			
		EGOSCUE RE-EVAL						WEIGH IN					
22	DALLAS	23		24		25		26		27	10w	28	
C)FF	PHASE	4, D1W2	PHASE	4, D2W2	RECOV	ERY DAY	PHASE	4, D3W2	PHASE	4, D4W2	С)FF
		GIRTH, MMT		UPPER CORE LIFT		STRETCH TO WIN, CRYO		LOWER AUXILIARY LIFT		UPPER AUXILIARY LIFT		EGOSC	UE MENU
		LOWER HYPE	RTROPHY LIFT	EGOSCL	JE MENU	EGOSCI	JE MENU	EGOSCI	JE MENU	EGOSCL	JE MENU		
		EGOSCUE RE-EVAL						WEIGH IN		BODY COMPOSITION			
29	PHILADELPHIA	30		31									
OFF		PHASE 5, D1W1		PHASE 5, D2W1									
		GIRTH, MMT		UPPER CORE LIFT									
		LOWER HYPERTROPHY LIFT		EGOSCUE MENU									
		EGOSCUE RE-EVAL											
*Rehabilitation		*Strength and Condi		tioning *Target Po		oints	ints *Testing		*weeks post op		*Egoscue *Nutrition		



- Daily
 - Palpation
 - Joint mobility
 - Soft tissue mobility
 - Mental Health
- Weekly
 - Postural Evaluation
 - Girth
 - Range of Motion
 - Strength
 - Body Weight
- Monthly
 - Sparta
 - Body Composition (4-6 Weeks)





• Girth Measurement

- Joint line, 5cm, 10cm, and 20cm above joint line
 - Monitor Inflammation
 - Joint line and 5cm above joint line
 - Quadriceps Atrophy
 - 10cm and 20cm above joint line
- What is an acceptable difference?
 - Symmetry is the goal
 - 2.54cm or 1 in at 20cm above



- Manual strength testing
 - Quadriceps strength
 - Straight leg raise
 - Lag? Or strength vs. mobility issue
 - Sidelying hip abduction
 - TFL/AG or PG dominant?
 - Lumbopelvic stability





- Prone Hip Extension
 - Hamstring/lumbar vs. Hip dominant
- Bridge
 - Double leg and single leg
 - Lumbopelvic stability
 - Hamstring/lumbar vs. Hip dominant
 - Kinesthetic awareness of hip joint
- Core/Pillar Assessment
 - TS or RS within FMS
 - May also utilize plank or reverse hyperextension hold



TIME OF REASSESSMENT

- What are we evaluating/what is the goal?
 - RTP vs. progress assessment
 - RTP is all encompassing
 - Hop Test
 - Progress assessment
 - ROM
 - Girth
 - Y-Balance
 - FMS
 - Sparta
 - Strength



WHEN TO RUN

- Criteria to Advance:
 - Full pain-free AROM
 - 2/3 FMS Squat
 - no lateral shift; proper hinge
 - SL Squat = 60 degrees with appropriate alignment
 - SL Max Leg Press = >70% well leg
 - Walk without limp or significant fatigue on treadmill x 15 minutes at a fast pace
 - Complete Hydroworx and Alter-G progression
 - Complete running mechanics program on land without difficulty and/or pain
 - Sparta Single Leg Landing Test



WHEN TO RUN

• Bridge the gap



- Perform land based techniques 2 weeks prior in a gravity minimized environment
 - Promote neuromuscular patterning
 - Progressive loading
 - Athlete confidence



PROGRESSIVE LOADING IN SPECIFIC ACTIVITY

Monitor Volume

- Zebra
- Catapult
- Sparta
- Simple math
 - Calculate yardage
 - Total work





When is my guy going to be ready?

How do you know he is ready?

Are you sure?

He looks like he could play now!

What if he plays just a little bit?

Don't worry, I will take the heat if he does not perform well.





- Multitude of tests available
- Must be standardized, reliable, valid and responsive to change with time as well as be clinically relevant
- Outcome measures in clinical practice should be inexpensive, take an acceptable amount of time to administer, be convenient for clinicians to use and be acceptable to the patient.⁹
- No consensus regarding which test or combination of tests is most appropriate for evaluating recovery after ACL reconstruction.¹⁰
- Makes sense that a multiple tests are necessary.



- Subjective
 - Questionnaire
- Movement Test
 - FMS



- Neuromuscular Control (Balance) Test
 - Y-Balance Test
- Strength Test
 - Biodex, Single Leg Press, Timed Lateral Step Down
- Dynamic Test
 - Hop Tests





- Vermeil's Hierarchy of Athletic Development
 - Same principles should apply to testing
 - Speed (Sport Specific Testing)
 - Sport Specific Testing (COD, etc..)
 - Power
 - Hop Test
 - Strength
 - Y-Balance
 - SL Leg Press or Biodex
 - Work Capacity
 - FMS
 - Y-Balance
 - Muscular Endurance
 - Joint Mobility
 - Tissue pliability





- Instrument used to rate and rank movement.
- Looking for asymmetries between left and right side
- Corrective exercises are utilized to improve symmetry and movement patterns (part to whole approach)
- Graded on a 0-3 scale, highest score=21
 - 0 is given for any movement that is painful
 - Score of 14 or < indicates high risk of injury
 - Score of 15 or > low risk of injury





Y-BALANCE TEST

- Based on research using Star Excursion Balance Test (SEBT) First described by Gary Gray 1995.¹¹
- 3 directions, Anterior, Posterior Medial, Posterior Lateral
- 6 Trial Reps each direction
- 3 reps each direction, must maintain balance throughout rep to count. Take longest distance
- Looking for symmetry between both sides (4 cm or less for anterior direction, 6 cm or less for posterior directions)





STRENGTH TESTING

Isokinetic Testing

- Regarded as an objective measurement showing reproducibility in testing procedures.
- An emphasis only on isokinetic strength measurements when deciding if an athlete is physically prepared to return to sports may not be sufficient.
- Not a true test of functional performance.
- Can be challenging to get to 85% of non-injured leg secondary to other leg gaining strength as well during rehab
- Some correlation to functional testing has been reported.¹⁰



STRENGTH TESTING

- SL Leg Press
 - Anecdotal evidence
 - More feasible than Biodex
 - Protocol^{11,12}
 - I. Instruct the athlete to warm up with a light resistance that easily allows 5 to 10 repetitions.
 - 2. Provide a 1-minute rest period.
 - Estimate a warm-up load that will allow the athlete to complete three to five repetitions by adding 10 to 20 pounds (4-9 kg) or 5% to 10% for upper body exercise or 30 to 40 pounds (14-18 kg) or 10% to 20% for lower body exercise.
 - 4. Provide a 2-minute rest period.
 - 5. Estimate a conservative, near-maximal load that will allow the athlete to complete two to three repetitions by adding 10 to 20 pounds (4-9 kg) or 5% to 10% for upper body exercise or 30 to 40 pounds (14-18 kg) or 10% to 20% for lower body exercise.
 - 6. Provide a 2- to 4-minute rest period.
 - 7. Make a load increase: 10 to 20 pounds (4-9 kg) or 5% to 10% for upper body exercise or 30 to 40 pounds (14-18 kg) or 10% to 20% for lower body exercise.
 - 8. Instruct the athlete to attempt a IRM.
 - 9. If the athlete was successful, provide a 2- to 4-minute rest period and go back to step 7.



STRENGTH TESTING

- Timed Lateral Step Down¹³
 - Continuous single leg squats
 - Step height at 60* knee flexion
 - Metronome at 80 bpm
 - End test following 3 faulty movement patterns, athlete stops, or 180 seconds have passed







- Sparta Force Plate
 - Analyzes ground reaction forces
 - Ability to load eccentrically, contract concentrically, and finish a movement
 - Assists in providing need based training
 - Single leg landing test
 - Effective tool when determining when to run in a load compromised athlete







- Hop Testing
 - Quick and Inexpensive
 - Does not require significant equipment
 - Hop testing has been shown to have high specificity 94-97%...but low sensitivity 38-58%
 - Too many ACL deficient knees could be labeled "normal"
 - Score sensitivity increased to 82% when a combination of 4 hop tests were used. ^{10,14}





Diagrammatic representation of the series of 4 hop tests: single hop for distance, 6-m timed hop, triple hop for distance, and crossover hop for distance.



Reid A et al. PHYS THER 2007;87:337-349



SF

©2007 by American Physical Therapy Association



• Limb Symmetry Index (LSI)

Mean score of Involved limb

 \times 100 = LSI

Mean score of Noninvolved limb

-LSI of less than 85% considered abnormal *Goal is 95% of contralateral side





- What is a passing grade?
 - Current scoring is 85-90%
 - SO everything is good then?
 - Not yet...





SPORT SPECIFIC TESTING

- Compare vs. baseline or pre-participation screening
 - Can the individual sprint at greater than 95% of premorbid capacity?
- Don't forget about change of direction? How to asses?
- Position specific testing
 - Ex. Defensive Back
 - Can the individual perform/cover the entire route tree and duties of his position?
 - Without fatigue or compensation?
 - With symmetrical mechanics?
 - Sufficient stability

• Can the individual ABSORB LOAD and PRODUCE FORCE in a dynamic environment?

• Eye Test!



TAKE HOME MESSAGES

- Progress as tolerated
 - Jumping from 30% to 70% does not work well.
 - Threshold and Intensity
- **Periodize** and *progress* programs, mindful of healing process
- Monitor and evaluate continuously
- Utilize reliable and reproducible testing measures
- Communicate!







- What do you utilize for return to sport testing and/or monitoring?
- Challenges?
- Thoughts on the future?

THANKYOU!







- I. Nieman DC. Immune response to heavy exertion. J. Appl. Physiol. 1997; 82: 1385–94.
- 2. Nieman DC, Nehlsen-Cannarella SL, Fagoaga OR *et al.* Immune response to two hours of rowing in female elite rowers. Int. J. Sports Med. 1999; 20: 476–81.
- 3. Nieman DC, Henson DA, Garner EB *et al*. Carbohydrate affects natural killer cell redistribution but not activity after running. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 1997; 29: 1318–24.
- 4. Nieman DC, Simandle S, Henson DA *et al.* Lymphocyte proliferative response to 2.5 hours of running. Int. J. Sports Med. 1995; 16: 404–8.
- 5. Bruunsgaard H, Hartkopp A, Mohr T *et al.* In vivo cell-mediated immunity and vaccination response following prolonged, intense exercise. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 1997; 29: 1176–81
- 6. Ostrowski K, Rohde T, Zacho M, Asp S, Pedersen BK. Evidence that interleukin-6 is produced in human skeletal muscle during prolonged running. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 1998; 508: 949–53.
- 7. Gannon GA, Rhind SG, Suzui M, Shek PN, Shephard RJ. Circulating levels of peripheral blood leucocytes and cytokines following competitive cycling. Can. J. Appl. Physiol. 1997; 22: 133–47.
- 8. Nieman DC, Fagoaga OR, Butterworth DE *et al.* Carbohydrate supplementation affects blood granulocyte and monocyte trafficking but not function following 2.5 hours of running. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 1997; 66: 153–9.





- Hole CD, Smith GH, Hammond J, Kummar A, Saxton J, Cochrane T. Dynamic control and concentration strength ratio of the quadriceps and hamstrings in subjects with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. Ergonomics. 2000 Oct;43(10):1603–1609
- Davies GJ, McCarty E, Provencher M, Manske RC. ACL Return to Sport Guidelines and Criteria. *Current Reviews in Musculoskeletal Medicine*. 2017;10(3):307-314. doi:10.1007/s12178-017-9420-9.Plisky PJ, Rauh MJ, Kaminski TW, Underwood FB. Star excursion balance test as a predictor of lower extremity injury in high school basketball players. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2006;36(12):911-9
- 11. Kraemer, W.J., Ratamess, N.A., Fry, A.C. and French, D.N. (2006) Strength training: development and evaluation of methodology. In: Physiological assessment of human fitness. Eds: Maud P.J. and Foster, C. Champain, IL: Human Kinetics
- 12. Fleck, Steven J. and William J. Kaemer "Designing Resistance Training Programs 3rd edition" 2004
- Haitz K, Shultz R, Hodgins M, Matheson GO. Test-retest and interrater reliability of the functional lower extremity evaluation. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2014; 44: 947–954
- Itoh, Hiromitsu, M. Kurosaka, Shinichi Yoshiya, Noriaki Ichihashi, and Kosaku Mizuno. "Evaluation of Functional Deficits Determined by Four Different Hop Tests in Patients with Anterior Cruciate Ligament Deficiency." Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy 6.4 (1998): 241-45. Web

